

**COURT No. 3, ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL,
PRINCIPAL BENCH, NEW DELHI**

31.

OA 907/2017

Ex Sub Ammer Singh Thapa

.....Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Ors.

.....Respondents

For Applicant : Ms. Sangeeta Tomar, Advocate

For Respondents : Mr S.R. Swain, Advocate

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE SUNITA GUPTA, MEMBER (J)

HON'BLE VICE ADMIRAL P. MURUGESAN, MEMBER (A)

O R D E R

13.12.2018

By way of present O.A. the applicant has claimed several reliefs including AGIF benefits. Mr. S.R. Swain, advocate submits that he is not representing respondent no. 6. As per the counter affidavit it was not specified by the counsel for the respondents that he was representing only respondent nos. 1 to 5 and not 6. However, presence of respondent no. 6 is essential in order to decide the claims of the applicant. Applicant to get respondent no. 6 served by taking necessary steps within two weeks.

2. Counsel for the applicant submits that since there is a policy dated 18.05.1977 which is mentioned in a judgement passed by Hon'ble High Court of Delhi in WP (C) 698/1986 dated 25.09.2008 in Subedar M.T.V. Kunhi Kannan Nambiar versus Union of India & Ors. She is not able to get hold of this

policy and it is submitted that respondents be directed to produce this policy.

3. Counsel for the respondents submits that let a copy of this judgement be supplied to him and he will try to trace this policy and place the same on record , if available.

4. Relist on **11.03.2019**.

(JUSTICE SUNITA GUPTA)
MEMBER (J)

(VICE ADMIRAL P. MURUGESAN)
MEMBER (A)

sudha